

# Integrerend project systeemtheorie

23/01/2014, Thursday, 14:00-17:00

You are **NOT** allowed to use any type of calculators.

1 (15 pts)

**Routh-Hurwitz criterion**

Determine all values  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  for which the polynomial  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + a\lambda^3 + a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + b$  is stable.

**REQUIRED KNOWLEDGE: Routh-Hurwitz criterion.**

**SOLUTION:**

Applying Routh-Hurwitz criterion, we get the following table:

$\lambda^4$	$\lambda^3$	$\lambda^2$	$\lambda$	1
$a \times$	1	$a$	$b$	$b$
1 $\times$	$a$	$b$	$b$	$b$
$(a^2 - b) \times$	$a^2$	$a^2 - b$	$ab$	$ab$
$a^2 \times$	$a^2 - b$	$a^2 - b$	$ab$	$ab$
		$(a^2 - b)^2$	$-ab^2$	$ab(a^2 - b)$

From the first reduction step, we see that the polynomial  $\lambda^4 + a\lambda^3 + a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + b$  is stable if and only if  $a > 0$  and the polynomial  $a^2\lambda^3 + (a^2 - b)\lambda^2 + ab\lambda + ab$  is stable. From the second, we see that the polynomial  $a^2\lambda^3 + (a^2 - b)\lambda^2 + ab\lambda + ab$  is stable if and only if  $a^2(a^2 - b) > 0$  and the polynomial  $(a^2 - b)^2\lambda^2 - ab^2\lambda + ab(a^2 - b)$  is stable.

It follows from the first step that  $p(\lambda)$  is stable only if  $a > 0$ . However, this would mean that the polynomial  $(a^2 - b)^2\lambda^2 - ab^2\lambda + ab(a^2 - b)$  is not stable. Therefore,  $p(\lambda)$  is not stable for all values of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Is the system  $\dot{x} = Ax$  stable?  
 (b) Is the system  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$  controllable?  
 (c) Is the system  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$  stabilizable?  
 (d) Determine the state feedback  $u = Fx$  for which the closed loop system matrix  $A + BF$  has the characteristic polynomial  $p_{A+BF}(\lambda) = (\lambda + 1)^3$ .

**REQUIRED KNOWLEDGE: stability, controllability, stabilizability, feedback stabilization.**

**SOLUTION:**

**2a:** The system  $\dot{x} = Ax$  is stable if and only if all eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$  have negative real parts. Note that

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) = \lambda^3 + \lambda - 1.$$

One can apply the Routh-Hurwitz test to the polynomial  $\Delta_A(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + \lambda - 1$  to check if it is stable or not. However, we already know that a monic polynomial is stable only if all its coefficients are positive. Since this is not the case for the polynomial  $\Delta_A(\lambda)$ , the system is *not* stable.

**2b:** Note that we have

$$[B \quad AB \quad A^2B] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It can be easily verified that the determinant of this matrix is not zero and hence its rank is equal to three. As such, the system is controllable.

**2c:** Every controllable system is also stabilizable.

**2d:** From the pole placement theorem, we know that for any monic polynomial  $p(\lambda)$  there exists  $F$  such that  $\Delta_{A+BF}(\lambda) = p(\lambda)$ . In order to find the feedback matrix  $F$ , we proceed as in the proof of the pole placement theorem. Let

$$\begin{aligned} q_3 &= B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ q_2 &= AB + 0 \cdot B = AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ q_1 &= A^2B + 0 \cdot AB + 1 \cdot B = A^2B + B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and also let

$$S = [q_1 \quad q_2 \quad q_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$S^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A} &= S^{-1}AS \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{B} &= S^{-1}B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(\lambda + 1)^3 = \lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 1$ . Then, we can choose

$$\bar{F} = [-1 \quad -3 \quad -3] - [1 \quad -1 \quad 0] = [-2 \quad -2 \quad -3].$$

One can verify that  $\det(\lambda I - \bar{A} - \bar{B}\bar{F}) = (\lambda + 1)^3$ . To find  $F$ , observe that  $\bar{A} + \bar{B}\bar{F} = S^{-1}(A + BF)S$  and hence  $F = \bar{F}S^{-1}$ . This results in

$$F = \bar{F}S^{-1} = [-2 \quad -2 \quad -3] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \quad -3 \quad -1]$$

---

Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} p & q & r \\ 0 & p & q \\ 0 & 0 & p \end{bmatrix} x \\ y &= [p \quad q \quad r] x\end{aligned}$$

where  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  are real numbers. Determine all values of  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  for which the system

- (a) is observable.
- (b) is detectable.

---

REQUIRED KNOWLEDGE: **eigenvalue test for observability and detectability.**

---

SOLUTION:

**3a:** A linear system  $\dot{x} = Ax \quad y = Cx$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is observable if and only if

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} = n \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \sigma(A).$$

Since the matrix  $A$  of the problem is triangular, the eigenvalues are nothing but the diagonal elements, that is  $\sigma(A) = \{p\}$ . Then, we have

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q & r \\ 0 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & q & r \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q & r \\ 0 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \iff p \neq 0 \neq q.$$

**3b:** A linear system  $\dot{x} = Ax \quad y = Cx$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is detectable if and only if

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} = n \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \sigma(A) \text{ with } \text{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0.$$

Then, we can conclude that the system we have is detectable if and only if

$$p < 0 \text{ OR } (p > 0 \text{ AND } q \neq 0).$$


---

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Show that the subspace

$$\langle \ker C \mid A \rangle := \ker C \cap \ker CA \cap \cdots \cap \ker CA^{n-1}$$

is the largest  $A$ -invariant subspace that is contained in  $\ker C$ .

---

**REQUIRED KNOWLEDGE: invariance under a linear map.**

---

**SOLUTION:**

Note first that the subspace  $\langle \ker C \mid A \rangle$  is contained in  $\ker C$  by definition. To show that it is  $A$ -invariant, let  $x \in \langle \ker C \mid A \rangle$ . This would mean that

$$CA^k x = 0$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then, it follows from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem that

$$CA^k x = 0$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . In turn, this implies that  $Ax \in \langle \ker C \mid A \rangle$ . Hence,  $\langle \ker C \mid A \rangle$  is  $A$ -invariant. In order to show that it is the largest of such subspaces, let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an  $A$ -invariant subspace that is contained in  $\ker C$ . Then, we have

$$A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \ker C.$$

By repeating this argument, we get

$$A^2\mathcal{V} \subseteq A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \ker C.$$

Therefore, one can conclude by induction on  $k$  that

$$A^k\mathcal{V} \subseteq \ker C$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . Equivalently, we have

$$\mathcal{V} \subseteq \ker CA^k$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . Hence, we see that  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \langle \ker C \mid A \rangle$ . In other words,  $\langle \ker C \mid A \rangle$  is the largest  $A$ -invariant subspace that is contained in  $\ker C$ .

---

